Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Heliyon



journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

Research article

Regional choroidal thickness estimation from color fundus images based on convolutional neural networks

Yibiao Rong^{a,b}, Qifeng Chen^{a,b}, Zehua Jiang^{c,d}, Zhun Fan^{a,b,**}, Haoyu Chen^{c,d,*}

^a College of Engineering, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China

^b Key Laboratory of Digital Signal and Image Processing of Guangdong Provincial, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China

^c Joint Shantou International Eye Center, Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shantou, 515051 China

^d Medical College, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: choroid Optical imaging Deep learning Fundus oculi

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aims to estimate the regional choroidal thickness from color fundus images from convolutional neural networks in different network structures and task learning models. *Method*: 1276 color fundus photos and their corresponding choroidal thickness values from healthy subjects were obtained from the Topcon DRI Triton optical coherence tomography machine. Initially, ten commonly used convolutional neural networks were deployed to identify the most accurate model, which was subsequently selected for further training. This selected model was then employed in combination with single-, multiple-, and auxiliary-task training models to predict the average and sub-region choroidal thickness in both ETDRS (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study) grids and 100-grid subregions. The values of mean absolute error and coefficient of determination (R²) were involved to evaluate the models' performance.

Results: Efficientnet-b0 network outperformed other networks with the lowest mean absolute error value (25.61 µm) and highest R² (0.7817) in average choroidal thickness. Incorporating diopter spherical, anterior chamber depth, and lens thickness as auxiliary tasks improved predicted accuracy (p-value = 6.39×10^{-44} , 2.72×10^{-38} , 1.15×10^{-36} respectively). For ETDRS regional choroidal thickness estimation, multi-task model achieved better results than single task model (lowest mean absolute error = $31.10 \mu m$ vs. $33.20 \mu m$). The multi-task training also can simultaneously predict the choroidal thickness of 100 grids with a minimum mean absolute error of $33.86 \mu m$.

Conclusions: Efficientnet-b0, in combination with multi-task and auxiliary task models, achieve high accuracy in estimating average and regional macular choroidal thickness directly from color fundus photographs.

1. Introduction

The choroid is important for maintaining the integrity of photoreceptors in our eyes, working as the vasculature to supply the outer retina and remove waste products [1]. Choroid thickness, which refers to the distance between the Bruch's membrane and the

** Corresponding author. College of Engineering, Shantou University, Shantou 515063, China. E-mail addresses: zfan@stu.edu.cn (Z. Fan), drchenhaoyu@gmail.com (H. Chen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26872

Received 7 November 2023; Received in revised form 24 January 2024; Accepted 21 February 2024

Available online 23 February 2024



^{*} Corresponding author. Joint Shantou International Eye Center, Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shantou, 515051 China.

^{2405-8440/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

choroidal-scleral interface [2,3], has been identified as a biomarker for various eye diseases. For instance, both high myopia [4,5] and age-related macular degeneration [6] are associated with thinner choroids. Thicker choroids may be the risk factor for polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy [1,6] and central serous chorioretinopathy [7,8]. Therefore, assessment of choroidal thickness is important for the diagnosis and monitoring of these diseases.

Choroidal thickness can be measured using optical coherence tomography (OCT), with techniques to increase the penetration, such as enhanced depth imaging OCT (EDI-OCT) [9] and swept-source OCT (SS-OCT) [10]. However, in practice, the use of EDI-OCT or SS-OCT can be limited by their high cost and lack of availability in remote or impoverished regions. Furthermore, the manual measurement of choroidal thickness through OCT images is a laborious and time-intensive task for ophthalmologists. In contrast, automatic measurement of choroidal thickness on OCT is prone to segmentation errors. We have employed a convolutional neural network (CNN) to directly estimate choroidal thickness without segmentation and achieved comparable precision [11].

Color fundus photography is more cost-effective and widely used, which can provide information on choroidal thickness. It is well known that tessellation is associated with thinner choroid. Previous studies used subjective grading [12], image analysis [13] and deep learning [14] to assess the severity of fundus tessellation. However, there may be other information on color fundus photography other than tessellation associated with choroidal thickness. Therefore, deep learning method were used to estimated choroidal thickness directly from color fundus photography [15,16]. But these studies solely concentrated on the subfoveal and mean choroidal thickness, disregarding the considerable variability in choroidal thickness distribution within the macular region [17–19]. Moreover, prior studies only employed a single neural network, and the estimated accuracy was inadequate.

In this study, we tried ten CNNs with varied structures and implemented different task models to enhance the performance of CNN in accurately estimating the regional choroidal thickness from color fundus photography.

2. Methods

2.1. Data collection

It is a retrospective study incorporating data from 2016 to 2020 obtained from the Joint Shantou International Eye Center (JSIEC) of Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong. It was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of JSIEC, which waived the need for informed consent due to the retrospective design.

Medical outpatient records with OCT examinations were screened to select healthy individuals without systematic diseases, chorioretinal diseases, and ocular surgical or treatment history. The fundus photograph and optical coherence tomography were obtained using a SS-OCT device (DRI-OCT Triton; Topcon, Japan). The OCT imaging protocol using a 7×7 mm macular scan mode with a resolution of 512×256 . The included images were required to be devoid of any pathology in the macular region, with an OCT image quality score exceeding 40. For every included OCT image, a clinical training doctor performed segmentation error corrections, specifically focusing on the inner and outer borders of the choroid, that is the outmost hyperreflective line of the RPE (Retinal Pigment Epithelium) layer, and the choroid-sclera junction line. Then the average choroidal thickness of the 6×6 mm region, the nine sub-regions (Seen in Fig. 1 (c)) were computed using the values generated automatically by the Triton inbuilt algorithm, which were set as the ground truth. The corresponding fundus images (Fig. 1 (a)) were also collected to train the CNN models.

Ophthalmic parameters of all enrolled patients were also collected, including uncorrected visual acuity, intraocular pressure measured by non-contact tonometer, diopter spherical, diopter cylindrical, the axis of astigmatism, and spherical equivalent acquired from a fully automatic optometer (KP8800, TOPCON, Japan), axial length, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness and central corneal thickness examined by ocular biometry (OA 2000; Tomey Corp, Japan).



Fig. 1. (a) Original image of color fundus photograph; (b) Color fundus photograph with nine subregions in ETDRS grids; (c) Color fundus photograph with one hundred 0.6×0.6 mm subregions. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

2.2. Convolutional neural networks designs

2.2.1. Experiment settings

The images were randomly divided into three sets: a training set, a validation set, and a test set, with a proportion of 8:1:1. Data augmentation techniques, such as center crop, and random vertical and horizontal flip, were involved in the experiments. L1 loss was employed for the CNN models during training. We utilized the Adam optimizer [21] for the mini-batch optimization with an initial learning rate of 0.001. To update the learning rate, the technique of cosine annealing was adopted with a period of 5 and a period multiple was set to 2 [22,23]. The CNN models were trained for 150 epochs with a batch size of 16. All the experiments were conducted in the Pytorch library with a TITAN Xp GPU.

2.2.2. Convolutional neural networks structures

To explore the impact of networks' structure on performance, we employed ten commonly used networks, including Alexnet [24], Resnet-18 [25], Resnet-34, Resnet-50, vgg-16 [26], Googlelenet [27], Efficientnetb0 [28], Efficientnetb1, Efficientnetb2 and Efficientnetv2-small [29], to estimate the average choroidal thickness in the whole region (6×6 mm) based on the fundus images. The CNN with the best performance will be selected as the backbone for further exploration of different task and regional thickness estimation.

2.2.3. Different task models approaches

Single-task learning model of the chosen CNN is applied to estimate the choroidal thickness of both the average and individual subregions within each of the nine ETDRS grids separately. Multi-task learning model [30] involves simultaneous estimation of regional choroidal thickness in all subregions, which includes nine subregions within the ETDRS grids and one hundred 0.6×0.6 mm subregions within the 100 grids. It is important to note that multi-task learning is an approach that utilizes the domain information present in the training signals of related tasks to facilitate inductive transfer [30]. These related tasks share representation, thereby aiding each other in learning different tasks and enhancing the model's generalization capabilities. Thus, the motivation behind incorporating multi-task learning in this study is to investigate the potential relatedness among choroidal thickness in various regions whether can enhance the performance of the CNN.

The auxiliary-task learning model is also employed to predict the average choroidal thickness across the entire region of 6×6 mm, which can help to identify the clinical index inputs' function on the prediction accuracy enhancement of the CNN. In auxiliary task learning, the primary task's performance takes precedence, while the other tasks function as aids to enhance the CNN model's performance on the primary task [31]. In this study, we applied different clinical indexes as auxiliary references to train the CNN models along with the primary task of predicting the average choroidal thickness in the entire region. In clinical practice, there exist correlations between the choroidal thickness and certain clinical indexes, for instance, the axial length [32] and spherical equivalent [33] are correlated with choroidal thickness. Clinical indexes that exhibit a positive or negative correlation with choroidal thickness may either facilitate or impede the performance of CNNs on the primary task. Therefore, we used twelve clinical indexes, encompassing uncorrected visual acuity, intraocular pressure, diopter spherical, diopter cylindrical, axis of astigmatism, spherical equivalent, axial length, anterior chamber depth, lens thickness, central corneal thickness, age and gender, as the auxiliary references. Subsequently, a CNN model was trained to accomplish the primary task and each the auxiliary task simultaneously.

2.3. CNN performances evaluations

The performance of CNNs in various experiments was evaluated using metrics such as mean absolute error (MAE), coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) [34], Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), and p-value [35]. MAE is an average of the absolute errors $|y_i - \hat{y}_i|$, where \hat{y}_i is the prediction and y_i is the ground truth. A smaller MAE value indicates a better performance. The coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) shows the proportion of variance in the dependent variable that can be explained by the independent variable. When the value is close to 1, the CNN model is reliable in estimation. The PCC is defined as the measurement of the strength of the relationship between two variables and their association with each other, and its range is between -1 and 1. If the PCC is below 0, the prediction and ground truth have negative correlations; when the PCC is above 0, there is a positive correlation. A strong correlation is indicated when the absolute value of the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) approaches 1. The p-value is a statistical measure that indicates the likelihood of observing a specific set of data if the null hypothesis is true. In this study, p-value is used for testing the hypothesis of no correlation against the alternative that there is a non-zero correlation. If a p-value is small, e.g. less than 0.001, then the correlation is significantly different from zero. All data are collected and statistically analyzed using SPSS Statistics 25 for Windows (SPSS Inc., IBM, Somers, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Data analysis

In total, 1276 color fundus images from 1276 individuals were collected, in which 52.16% were female and 47.84% were male, aged from 5 to 82 (30 \pm 22 years old). The mean choroidal thickness of all samples was 209.39 \pm 72.03 μm .

Supplementary Table 1 provides a summary of statistical results from all ophthalmic examinations. Based on the linear regression

analysis conducted on each parameter and the average choroidal thickness in the entire 6×6 mm region. We discovered a positive correlation between the average choroidal thickness and various factors, including uncorrected visual acuity, diopter spherical, diopter cylindrical, spherical equivalent and gender. Note that male and female were labeled as 1 and 0 respectively for analyzing the correlation between the choroidal thickness and gender in this study. Inversely, it was negatively correlated with the axial length, lens thickness and age, while other parameters including intraocular pressure, axis of astigmatism, anterior chamber depth, and central corneal thickness were not correlated with the average choroidal thickness, as shown in Supplementary Table 1.

3.2. Average choroidal thickness estimation

3.2.1. Performance of different networks

Among ten CNN models, the Efficientnetb0 model has the lowest MAE (MAE = $25.61 \mu m$), and the highest R² (R² = 0.7817) as well as PCC (PCC = 0.8985), which outperformed other networks (shown in Table 1). Besides, the scatter plots (Supplementary Fig. 1) and Bland-Altman plots (Supplementary Fig. 2) of all networks also visually demonstrated that the predicted values of the Efficientnetb0 CNN model are more consistent with the ground truth choroidal thickness values. Thus, the Efficientnetb0 CNN model was chosen as the backbone for further experiments.

3.2.2. Performance of auxiliary task learning

Due to the limitations of the retrospective study, it was found that some parameter data were missing, while deleting these samples might cause a generalized performance reduction. Therefore, to ensure a fair comparison, we treated each parameter as an individual sample set in order to assess the prediction accuracy of the model both before and after incorporating the auxiliary task. By comparing the performance of the CNN model before and after, we can determine the extent to which each parameter contributes to improving the accuracy of the predictions.

From Table 2, we observed that among all the auxiliary tasks, only the diopter spherical (MAE = $26.25 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7819$, PCC = 0.8862), anterior chamber depth (MAE = $28.81 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7334$, PCC = 0.8581), and lens thickness (MAE = $30.73 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7174$, PCC = 0.8487) can assist the CNN models in improving the performance on the primary task. Besides, the auxiliary tasks of diopter cylindrical (MAE = $32.96 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7056$, PCC = 0.8717), axis of astigmatism (MAE = $28.83 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7424$, PCC = 0.8633), spherical equivalent (MAE = $29.47 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7377$, PCC = 0.8592), axial length (MAE = $31.17 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7060$, PCC = 0.8424), central corneal thickness (MAE = $32.23 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.6760$, PCC = 0.8329), age (MAE = $29.98 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.6980$, PCC = 0.8369) and gender (MAE = $33.77 \mu m$, $R^2 = 0.7005$, PCC = 0.8449) were in poor performance with the MAE values exceed $28 \mu m$, especially the diopter cylindrical, the axial length, the central corneal thickness, and the gender, whose MAE values even more than $30 \mu m$.

3.3. Regional choroidal thickness estimation in ETDRS grids

3.3.1. Performance of single-task learning

Regional choroidal thickness estimation in ETDRS grids was summarized in Table 3, demonstrating that the Efficientnetb0 CNNs yielded better performance in the outer temporal (MAE = $33.23 \ \mu m$, R² = 0.6914, PCC = 0.8432) and the inferior temporal (MAE = $33.20 \ \mu m$, R² = 0.7405, PCC = 0.8644) sub-regions. However, it is important to note that the performance of the Efficientnetb0 model in estimating the average choroidal thickness (MAE = $25.61 \ \mu m$, R² = 0.7817, PCC = 0.8985) surpassed that of each individual sub-region.

3.3.2. Performance of multi-task learning

Table 1

For all nine subregions in ETDRS grids, we implemented multi-task learning in the CNN model to enable simultaneous prediction.

CNN ^a model	MAE ^b (µm)	R ^{2c}	PCC^{d}	<i>p</i> -vaule
Alexnet	42.28	0.4928	0.7049	$1.61 imes 10^{-20}$
Resnet 18	34.79	0.6451	0.8033	3.83×10^{-30}
Resnet 34	32.44	0.6703	0.8985	2.02×10^{-32}
Resnet 50	31.53	0.6970	0.8362	1.13×10^{-34}
Vgg16	62.20	_	-	-
Googlelenet	27.53	0.7611	0.8725	5.26×10^{-31}
Efficientnetb0	25.61	0.7817	0.8985	$6.99 imes10^{-37}$
Effcientnetb1	27.11	0.7752	0.8805	8.55×10^{-34}
Effcientnetb2	27.64	0.7706	0.8786	1.64×10^{-40}
Effcientnetv2-s	31.22	0.7029	0.8440	6.90×10^{-36}

Average choroidal thickness estimation performances of different CNN model

The bolded numbers represent that Efficientnetb0 outperforms other networks.

^a CNN: convolutional neural network.

^b MAE: mean absolute error.

^c R²: coefficient of determination.

^d PCC: Pearson correlation coefficient.

Table 2

Efficientnetb0 with auxiliary task learning in average choroidal thickness estimation.

Ocular Parameters	Efficientnetb0			Efficientnetb0 Auxiliary Task				
	MAE ^a (µm)	R ^{2b}	PCC ^c	p-value	MAE ^a (µm)	R ^{2b}	PCC ^c	p-value
uncorrected visual acuity (LogMAR)	25.07	0.8100	0.9001	2.78×10^{-47}	26.46	0.7679	0.8774	5.16×10^{-42}
intraocular pressure (mmHg)	25.47	0.8250	0.9084	1.45×10^{-49}	26.14	0.7785	0.8827	3.82×10^{-43}
diopter spherical (D)	28.27	0.7594	0.8735	3.24×10^{-41}	26.25	0.7819	0.8862	6.39×10^{-44}
diopter cylindrical (D)	27.31	0.7729	0.8814	7.26×10^{-43}	32.96	0.7056	0.8717	7.51×10^{-41}
axis of astigmatism (/)	25.33	0.7982	0.8938	1.04×10^{-45}	28.83	0.7424	0.8633	3.14×10^{-39}
spherical equivalent (D)	25.54	0.8064	0.8988	5.74×10^{-47}	29.47	0.7377	0.8592	1.75×10^{-38}
axial length (mm)	29.24	0.7371	0.8619	5.77×10^{-39}	31.17	0.7060	0.8424	1.21×10^{-35}
anterior chamber depth (mm)	30.84	0.7159	0.8491	1.01×10^{-36}	28.81	0.7334	0.8581	2.72×10^{-38}
lens thickness (mm)	31.49	0.6912	0.8338	2.61×10^{-34}	30.73	0.7174	0.8487	1.15×10^{-36}
central corneal thickness (µm)	29.75	0.7410	0.8631	3.40×10^{-39}	32.23	0.6760	0.8329	3.61×10^{-34}
age	28.00	0.7290	0.8598	$2.71 imes 10^{-38}$	29.98	0.6980	0.8369	1.61×10^{-34}
gender	30.19	0.7215	0.8505	5.76×10^{-37}	33.77	0.7005	0.8449	4.88×10^{-36}

The bolded numbers represent that the corresponding auxiliary tasks can improve the performance of the CNNs in choroidal thickness estimation compared with the corresponding single task learning.

^a MAE: Mean absolute error.

^b R2: coefficient of determination.

^c PCC: Pearson correlation coefficient.

Table 3

Performances of Efficientnetb0 single- and multi-task learning in regional choroidal thickness estimation of ETDRS grids.

ETDRS ^a grids	Single-task Learning			Multi-task Learning				
	MAE ^b (µm)	R ^{2c}	PCC ^d	p-value	MAE ^b (μm)	R ^{2c}	PCC ^d	p-value
Central	40.48	0.6399	0.8041	$3.03 imes10^{-30}$	37.71	0.6853	0.8282	1.79×10^{-33}
Inner superior	41.07	0.5755	0.7655	6.84×10^{-26}	39.51	0.6171	0.7965	2.64×10^{-29}
Inner temporal	39.92	0.6512	0.8134	1.98×10^{-31}	35.54	0.7249	0.8523	2.88×10^{-37}
Inner inferior	40.49	0.6488	0.8088	7.71×10^{-31}	40.10	0.6742	0.8251	4.89×10^{-33}
Inner nasal	38.42	0.6811	0.8319	5.09×10^{-34}	36.11	0.7114	0.8444	5.85×10^{-36}
Outer superior	41.91	0.5315	0.7527	$1.26 imes10^{-24}$	40.52	0.5974	0.7877	2.81×10^{-28}
Outer temporal	33.23	0.6914	0.8432	$9.05 imes 10^{-36}$	31.10	0.7650	0.8751	1.58×10^{-41}
Outer inferior	33.20	0.7405	0.8644	1.98×10^{-39}	31.68	0.7504	0.8713	8.91×10^{-41}
Outer nasal	35.52	0.6711	0.8382	5.70×10^{-35}	32.77	0.7256	0.8526	2.57×10^{-37}

The bolded numbers represent that the choroidal thickness in the corresponding regions can be estimated by the CNNs in the performance with MAE less than 35 μ m.

^a ETDRS: Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study.

^b MAE: Mean absolute error.

^c R2: coefficient of determination.

^d PCC: Pearson correlation coefficient.

The performance in each subregion, as predicted by the multi-task model, exhibited improvement compared to single-task learning (Table 3). For example, in outer temporal region, the MAE decreased from 33.23 to $31.10 \,\mu\text{m}$ and R^2 increased from 0.6914 to 0.7650 when using multi-task model compared to single task mode. It is worth noting that the performance in the ETDRS regions was not as good as the estimation of average choroidal thickness.

3.4. Regional choroidal thickness estimation in 100 grids

For one hundred 0.6×0.6 mm grids of choroidal thickness estimation, we implemented multi-task learning to train the CNN model to simultaneously predict the choroidal thickness values for all one hundred sub-regions. Fig. 2 shows the MAE for each sub-region. It is observed that the MAE for all subregions was less than 45.90 µm, while the minimum one was only 33.86 µm (R² = 0.7606, PCC = 0.8726). The estimated performance in temporal and inferior areas was relatively better (MAE <40 µm). The estimation results of 100 grids were found to be suboptimal compared to the metrics of the mean choroidal thickness within the intire 0.6×0.6 mm region. (MAE = 25.61 µm, R² = 0.7817, PCC = 0.8985).

4. Discussions

In this study, we evaluated various CNN models and identified that the Efficientnetb0 network exhibited the highest performance in directly estimating choroidal thickness from color fundus photographs. Additionally, we introduced novel models employing single-



Fig. 2. The mean absolute error values of choroidal thickness in 100 sub-regions obtained by the Efficientnetb0 model with multi-task learning.. (The units of all data were ' μ m'. Red color numbers mean the MAE >40 μ m, while blue color means MAE <40 μ m). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

task, multi-task, and auxiliary task learning approaches to enhance the estimation of regional choroidal thickness. Our results demonstrated accurate predictions for average choroidal thickness, as well as regional choroidal thickness within ETDRS grids and 100 grids.

Previous studies have employed CNNs to estimate the choroidal thickness using fundus images [15,16], which only focus on the performance of single CNN. We have evaluated various neural networks and found that Efficientnetb0 achieves the best performance in the estimation of average choroidal thickness across the entire 0.6×0.6 mm region (MAE = 25.61 μ m, R² = 0.7817, PCC = 0.8985), which demonstrated higher accuracy compared to the findings of Komuku et al. [15] ($R^2 = 0.68$) and Dong et al.'s study [16] (MAE = 49.20 μ m, R² = 0.62). Moreover, by introducing diopter spherical, anterior chamber depth, and lens thickness as auxiliary tasks, the performance of the CNN models on the primary task can be improved. Theoretically, deep learning has the potential to systematically investigate the relationship between features to enhance performance [36]. By incorporating auxiliary tasks that involve various clinical indexes, additional information can be obtained. For instance, these auxiliary tasks can reveal the positive or negative correlations between choroidal thickness and ocular parameters, thereby affecting the performance of CNN. Interestingly, the diopter spherical (correlation PCC = 0.3480) and spherical equivalent (correlation PCC = 0.3501) exhibit positive correlations with the choroidal thickness, but the performance of their respective auxiliary task models differs. The auxiliary task model using diopter spherical achieves a predicted MAE of only 26.25 µm, whereas the MAE of the spherical equivalent increases to 29.47 µm. This indicates that despite having the same positive correlation as auxiliary tasks, the prediction MAE can increase by over 3 µm. Hence, it is inconclusive whether clinical parameters enhance or hinder prediction performance solely based on the correlation between the main task and auxiliary task. Further investigation is necessary to examine the correlation between the features of the main task and the auxiliary task. Identifying the optimal auxiliary tasks that can effectively enhance the accuracy of choroidal thickness estimation still need further investigation.

The thickness of the choroid exhibits notable variations across different regions of the fundus in healthy individuals [37,38], suggesting that only investigating the mean and sub-foveal choroidal thickness is inadequate for a comprehensive choroidal assessment. For instance, in early-stage diabetic retinopathy patients, the choroidal thickness is significantly increased in the outer nasal and outer inferior regions of the ETDRS grid [17]. Patients with idiopathic macular holes exhibit a thinner choroid compared to their unaffected fellow eye, specifically in the nasal 3 mm to the fovea area [18]. The choroidal thickness decreases in all sub-regions among the aging and myopic population and the most pronounced thinning for the aged group occurs in the outer inferior region of the ETDRS grid, while the myopic group exhibits greater thinning in the inner inferior region [19]. Previous studies only applied the CNNs to estimate the mean and average choroidal thickness, while our research breaks new ground by introducing the multi-tasks learning model to estimate the regional thickness values in ETDRS and 100 grids, which achieves a remarkable level of accuracy in prediction outcomes. Interestingly, the Efficientnetb0 multi-task learning model performs better in each subregion when compared to single-task learning. This can be attributed to the sharing of valuable choroidal information across different tasks, enabling the model to extract intricate details for enhancing CNN training and ultimately boosting the accuracy of predictions. However, as the number of tasks increases, starting from the nine subregions as defined by ETDRS and extending to one hundred 0.6×0.6 mm subregions, a decrease in both the predicted accuracy and efficiency was observed. Therefore, it is important to recognize that there is no "more the better" principle in multi-task learning. Determining the optimal number of tasks that yield the highest prediction accuracy warrants further exploration.

This study marks the first investigation into the performance of CNN for estimating regional choroidal thickness from fundus photography. Moreover, our results surpass those of prior studies in accurately estimating the mean choroidal thickness. Estimating choroidal thickness based on color fundus images provides an economical, convenient, and accessible approach for clinical doctors to assess the choroid, which may have a great application prospect.

We acknowledge that the precision of the present algorithm still falls short of its clinical applicability, particularly since our investigation focused solely on healthy subjects and excluded patients with pachychoroidal diseases or uveitis, whose scleral-choroidal junction may be poorly distinguishable. The current algorithm may not be applied to pachychoidal diseases or uveitis. Further studies are needed to investigate how to estimate choroidal thickness in these patients. As previously discussed, there are several avenues for enhancing the performance of the CNN models in future research. These may involve devising a suitable auxiliary task, exploring an optimal number of multi-tasks, and incorporating diverse disease samples for validation purposes.

In conclusion, the Efficientnet-b0 CNN model can directly predict the average and regional choroidal thickness based on fundus color imaging with high prediction accuracy and efficiency. Additionally, the incorporation of multi-task and auxiliary task learning enhances the model's performance even more.

Funding statement

This work was supported in part by the Shantou Science and Technology Project (190,917,085,269,835), in part by STU Scientific Research Initiation Grant, China (NTF20021), in part by the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (2022A1515011396), and in part by Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangdong Province of China (180,917,144,960,530).

Declarations of patients' inform consent

Our research adheres to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval was obtained from the Joint Shantou International Eye Center (JSIEC) of Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong. (Approval ID 190917085269835)

Informed consent is omitted by the Institutional Review Board from the Joint Shantou International Eye Center of Shantou University and the Chinese University of Hong Kong due to its retrospective nature. All details of patients' names and date of birth from images and data were removed before analysis, so there is not identifiable patient information for publication.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yibiao Rong: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Software, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Qifeng Chen:** Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Software, Formal analysis. **Zehua Jiang:** Writing – review & editing, Resources, Investigation, Data curation. **Zhun Fan:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. **Haoyu Chen:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/.

References

- S.S.Y. Lee, et al., Choroidal thickness in young adults and its association with visual acuity, Am. J. Ophthalmol. 214 (2020) 40–51, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajo.2020.02.012.
- [2] T. Sekiryu, Choroidal imaging using optical coherence tomography: techniques and interpretations, Jpn. J. Ophthalmol. 66 (2022) 213–226, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10384-022-00902-7.
- [3] E. Huynh, et al., Past, present, and future concepts of the choroidal scleral interface morphology on optical coherence tomography, Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila) 6 (2017) 94–103, https://doi.org/10.22608/apo.201698.
- [4] T. Fujiwara, Y. Imamura, R. Margolis, J.S. Slakter, R.F. Spaide, Enhanced depth imaging optical coherence tomography of the choroid in highly myopic eyes, Am. J. Ophthalmol. 148 (2009) 445–450, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2009.04.029.
- [5] Y. Ikuno, Y. Tano, Retinal and choroidal biometry in highly myopic eyes with spectral-domain optical coherence tomography, Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 50 (2009) 3876–3880, https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.08-3325.
- [6] S.E. Chung, S.W. Kang, J.H. Lee, Y.T. Kim, Choroidal thickness in polypoidal choroidal vasculopathy and exudative age-related macular degeneration, Ophthalmology 118 (2011) 840–845, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.09.012.
- [7] Y.T. Kim, S.W. Kang, K.H. Bai, Choroidal thickness in both eyes of patients with unilaterally active central serous chorioretinopathy, Eye (Lond) 25 (2011) 1635–1640, https://doi.org/10.1038/eye.2011.258.

- [8] C.M.G. Cheung, et al., Pachychoroid disease, Eye (Lond) 33 (2019) 14-33, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-018-0158-4.
- [9] H. Laviers, H. Zambarakji, Enhanced depth imaging-OCT of the choroid: a review of the current literature, Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol. 252 (2014) 1871–1883, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-014-2840-v.
- [10] M. Adhi, et al., Choroidal analysis in healthy eyes using swept-source optical coherence tomography compared to spectral domain optical coherence tomography, Am. J. Ophthalmol. 157 (2014) 1272–1281.e1271, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2014.02.034.
- [11] Y. Rong, et al., Direct estimation of choroidal thickness in optical coherence tomography images with convolutional neural networks, J. Clin. Med. 11 (2022), https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11113203.
- [12] Y.N. Yan, et al., Fundus tessellation: prevalence and associated factors: the Beijing eye study 2011, Ophthalmology 122 (2015) 1873–1880, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ophtha.2015.05.031.
- [13] K. Neelam, R.Y. Chew, M.H. Kwan, C.C. Yip, K.G. Au Eong, Quantitative analysis of myopic chorioretinal degeneration using a novel computer software program, Int. Ophthalmol. 32 (3) (2012 Jun) 203–209, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10792-012-9542-4.
- [14] D. Huang, R. Li, Y. Qian, S. Ling, Z. Dong, X. Ke, Q. Yan, H. Tong, Z. Wang, T. Long, H. Liu, H. Zhu, Fundus tessellated density assessed by deep learning in primary school children, Transl Vis Sci Technol 12 (6) (2023 Jun 1) 11, https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.12.6.11.
- [15] Y. Komuku, et al., Choroidal thickness estimation from colour fundus photographs by adaptive binarisation and deep learning, according to central serous chorioretinopathy status, Sci. Rep. 10 (2020) 5640, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-62347-7.
- [16] L. Dong, et al., Deep learning-based estimation of axial length and subfoveal choroidal thickness from color fundus photographs, Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9 (2021) 653692, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.653692.
- [17] W. Wang, et al., Choroidal thickness in diabetes and diabetic retinopathy: a swept source OCT study, Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 61 (2020) 29, https://doi.org/ 10.1167/iovs.61.4.29.
- [18] J. Zeng, et al., Choroidal thickness in both eyes of patients with unilateral idiopathic macular hole, Ophthalmology 119 (2012) 2328–2333, https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ophtha.2012.06.008.
- [19] F.A. Bartol-Puyal, et al., Distribution of choroidal thinning in high myopia, diabetes mellitus, and aging: a swept-source OCT study, J Ophthalmol 2019 (2019) 3567813, https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3567813.
- [20] H.W. Flynn Jr., et al., Pars plana vitrectomy in the early treatment diabetic retinopathy study: ETDRS report number 17, Ophthalmology 99 (1992) 1351–1357, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-6420(92)31779-8.
- [21] Kingma D., Ba J., Adam: a method for stochastic optimization, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2014 , 1412.6980.
- [22] I. Loshchilov, F. Hutter, SGDR: stochastic gradient descent with warm restarts, in: ICLR (5th International Conference on Learning Representations, 2016.
- [23] T. He, Z. Zhang, H. Zhang, Z. Zhang, M. Li, Bag of tricks for image classification with convolutional neural networks, in: 2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR), 2019.
- [24] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, G. Hinton, ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks, J Advances in neural information processing systems 25 (2012).
- [25] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Sun, Deep residual learning for image recognition, in: 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition: 2016, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [26] K. Simonyan, A. Zisserman, Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition, 2014 1409.1556 arXiv preprint arXiv.
- [27] C. Szegedy, L. Wei, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, A. Rabinovich, Going deeper with convolutions, in: 2015 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition 2015, 2015.
- [28] M. Tan, Q.V. Le, EfficientNet: rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks, in: Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, 2019, pp. 6105–6114, 2019.
- [29] M. Tan, Q.V. Le, EfficientNetV2: smaller models and faster training, Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Machine Learning 2021 (2021) 10096–10106.
- [30] Y. Zhang, Q. Yang, A survey on multi-task learning, IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng. (2021) 1, https://doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2021.3070203, 1.
- [31] S. Liu, A.J. Davison, E. Johns, Self-supervised Generalisation with Meta Auxiliary learning[C]. Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 2019, pp. 1679–1689. New York. USA.
- [32] I. Flores-Moreno, F. Lugo, J.S. Duker, J.M. Ruiz-Moreno, The relationship between axial length and choroidal thickness in eyes with high myopia, Am. J. Ophthalmol. 155 (2013) 314–319.e311, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2012.07.015.
- [33] J. Xue, X. Ji, Y. Yu, C. Wu, Choroidal thickness and correlations with intraocular pressure and spherical equivalent in young myopic eyes without maculopathy, International Journal of Ophthalmology & Visual Science 6 (1) (2021) 22–28.
- [34] S. M, Coefficients of determination for multiple logistic regression analysis, Am. Statistician 54 (2000) 17-24.
- [35] J.L. Rodgers, W.A. Nicewander, Thirteen ways to look at the correlation coefficient 42 (1988) 59-66.
- [36] S. He, Y. Feng, P. Ellen Grant, Y. Ou, Deep relation learning for regression and its application to brain age estimation, IEEE Trans. Med. Imag. (2022), https:// doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2022.3161739.
- [37] Y. Ouyang, et al., Spatial distribution of posterior pole choroidal thickness by spectral domain optical coherence tomography, Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 52 (2011) 7019–7026, https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.11-8046.
- [38] S. Hwang, M. Kong, Y.M. Song, D.I. Ham, Choroidal spatial distribution indexes as novel parameters for topographic features of the choroid, Sci. Rep. 10 (2020) 574, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57211-2.